

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[IN52-1-6978b; FRL-5452-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Indiana**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The USEPA proposes to approve Indiana's August 8, 1995, request for rule changes specific to Richmond Power and Light's (RPL's) Whitewater Generating Station located in Wayne County in Richmond, Indiana. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the USEPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before May 9, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Pohlman, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-3299.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: March 22, 1996.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-8439 Filed 4-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[WI61-01-7144b; FRL-5426-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Wisconsin; Lithographic Printing SIP Revision**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve a revision to the Wisconsin State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone that was submitted on May 12, 1995, and supplemented on June 14, 1995 and November 14, 1995. This revision consists of a volatile organic compound (VOC) regulation which establishes reasonably available control technology (RACT) for lithographic printing facilities. This regulation was submitted to address, in part, the requirement of section 182(b)(2)(C) of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) that States revise their SIPs to establish RACT regulations for major sources of VOCs for which the USEPA has not issued a control technology guidelines (CTG) document. In addition, emission reductions resulting from this rule are being used by the State to fulfill, in part, the requirement of section 182(b)(1) of the Act that States submit a plan that provides for a 15 percent reduction in VOC emissions by 1996.

In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving this action as a direct final without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received by May 9, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), EPA, Region

5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the following address: (Please telephone Kathleen D'Agostino at (312) 886-1767 before visiting the Region 5 office.) EPA, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: January 29, 1996.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-8437 Filed 4-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[PA 52-2-7155b, 55-2-7137b, PA 58-1-7138b, PA 64-1-7139b, PA 66-2-7140b, PA 071-4008b, PA 079-4009b; FRL-5443-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Approval of Source-Specific VOC and NO_x RACT and Synthetic Minor Permit Conditions, and 1990 Baseyear Emissions for One Source**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the purpose of establishing VOC and NO_x RACT for twenty sources, federally enforceable conditions on one source to make it a synthetic minor source, and approving the 1990 emissions for one source in the Philadelphia 1990 baseyear emission inventory. This action affects a total of 21 sources. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule and the accompanying technical support document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule